

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Complaint No.65 /2018/SIC-I

Shri Venan Dias,
H.No.679,Palmar Cupa,
Santo Estevam,Illhas Goa.

.....Complainant

V/s.

1. Public Information Officer (PIO),
Secretary, Village Panchayat,
St. Estevam.
2. First Appellate Authority (FAA),
Block Development Officer,
Panajim Goa.

.....Respondents

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 12/11/2018

Decided on: 25/1/2019

ORDER

1. The brief facts of the present complaint are that the complainant Shri Venan Dias by his application dated 16/4/18 sought for certain information on two points as stated therein in the said application. The said information was sought from the PIO of the Village Panchayat of St. Estevam in exercise of complainant right under sub-section (1) of section 6 of RTI Act, 2005.
2. It is the contention of the complainant that his application was responded by the Respondent no 1 on 16/5/2018 interms of section 7(1) of RTI Act.
3. It is his contention that the information which came to be provide to him by PIO on 16/5/2018 was wrong and misleading as such he made representation to the Dy. Director of Panchayat, Panjim vide his letter dated 21/5/2018 and in pursuant to same memorandum dated 22/6/2018 was issued by Additional Director of Panchayat-I to Block Development Officer, Tiswadi Block.
4. In this back ground the present complaint came to be filed by the Complainant on 12/11/2018 before this commission thereby seeking relief of penal provisions

5. In pursuant to the notice issued by this commission, the complainant appeared in person. Respondent Shri Ashish Naik was present along with present PIO Shri Shirishkumar Tari .
6. Application dated 9/1/2019 came to be filed by complainant thereby enclosing the application of Shri Ivan Dias dated 19/7/2017 addressed to Sarpanch of St. Estevam, Tiswadi Goa. Copy of the same was furnished to Respondent PIO.
7. Reply also came to be filed by Respondent PIO on 25/1/2019. Copy of the same furnished to the complainant. The complainant on going through the said reply Submitted that as the Respondent PIO Shri Ashish Naik had accepted that there was a Miscommunication, he understood the short comings of the PIO , and found that there was no malafide intention on the part of PIO. He further submitted that he does not have any personal grievance against the PIO and as such he does not desire to pursue with the present complaint and desires to withdraw the same. Accordingly he endorsed his say on memo of complaint.
8. In view of the submission and endorsement made by the complainant, I find no reasons to proceed with the complaint and hence the same is disposed as withdrawn.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa